# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS


IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)

MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

ORDER

3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF

MDL No. 2100

ORDER

#### This Document Relates to:

Erika Balli, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-13126-DRH-PMF<sup>1</sup>

Brianna Hawthorne v. McKesson Corp., et al. No. 3:10-cv-20411-DRH-PMF

#### **ORDER DISMISSING WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

## HERNDON, Chief Judge:

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Bayer HealthCare

Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s ("Bayer") motion to dismiss the above captioned actions

without prejudice (filed on June 21, 2011). Plaintiffs' responsive pleadings were

due on or before July 25, 2011. To date, Plaintiffs have not responded to Bayer's

motion.

On May 16, 2011, the Court granted a motion to withdraw filed by plaintiff's counsel in the *Balli*matter. (*Balli*Doc. 10). The Court noted that Plaintiff's Plaintiff Fact Sheet was due on April 5, 2011, and it further noted the "numerous attempts [Plaintiff's] counsel has made to communicate with plaintiff regarding her Plaintiff Fact Sheet obligations (all of which have been

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This motion applies to Plaintiff Erika Balli only.

ignored).On May 24, 2011, the Court granted a motion to withdraw filed by Plaintiff'scounsel in the *Hawthorne* matter. (*Hawthorne* Doc. 18). The Order provided that, "[i]f Plaintiffor her new counsel fails to file a supplementary entry of appearance within 21 days of the entry of this Order, plaintiff's action will be subject to dismissal without prejudice for failure tocomply with this Order and with her PFS requirements."To date, and in violation of the Order and Local Rule 83.1(g), plaintiffs have not filed a supplementary appearance.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure **41(b)**, a complaint may be involuntarily dismissed where a plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with the rules or a court order. **See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b)**. In the above captioned cases, plaintiffs have failed to comply with this Court's Order and with **Local Rule 83.1(g)**.

In addition, each plaintiff's failure to file an appearance has prejudiced Bayer. In each of these matters, a Plaintiff Fact Sheet was due on or before April 25, 2011. To date, and in violation of Case Management Order 12, Plaintiffs' have not served a Plaintiff Fact Sheet. Thus, the Plaintiff Fact Sheets are more than one month overdue.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein, the Court  $\mathbf{ORDERS}$  as follows:

- In member action No. 3:10-cv-13126-DRH-PMF, Erika Balli, et al. v.
   Bayer HealthCare et al., the claims of plaintiff Erika Balliare dismissed
   without prejudice.
- Member action No. 3:10-cv-20411-DRH-PMF, *Brianna Hawthorne v. McKesson Corp.*, *et al.*, is dismissed without prejudice.

Date: August 4, 2011

### SO ORDERED.

DavidRobandon

David R. Herndon 2011.08.04

17:47:03 -05'00'

Chief Judge United States District Court